

**Table 6. Example set of scoring criteria to establish feasibility of work zone ITS. (Source: FHWA)<sup>21</sup>**

Criteria	Score
Factor 1 – Duration of work zone: Long-term stationary work will have a duration of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• &gt;1 construction season (10 points)</li> <li>• 4-10 months (6 points)</li> <li>• &lt;4 months; procurement and installation timeline is available prior to work starting (3 points)</li> </ul>	
Factor 2 – Impact to traffic, businesses, other destinations, or other users (e.g., extremely long delays, high risk of speed variability, access issues) for the duration of work is expected to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Significant (10 points)</li> <li>• Moderate (6 points)</li> <li>• Minimal (3 points)</li> </ul>	
Factor 3 – Queuing and Delay: Queue lengths are estimated to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ≥2 miles for periods ≥2 hours per day (8 to 10 points)</li> <li>• 1-2 miles for periods of 1-2 hours per day (6 to 8 points)</li> <li>• ≤1 mile, or queue length estimates are not available but pre-construction, recurring congestion exists for periods &lt;1 hour per day (4 points)</li> </ul>	
Factor 4 – Temporal Aspects of Traffic Impacts: Expected traffic impacts are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unreasonable for a time period that covers more than just peak hours (10 points)</li> <li>• Unreasonable during most of both morning and afternoon peak hours in either direction (6 points)</li> <li>• Unreasonable during most of a peak hour in either direction (3 points)</li> <li>• Unpredictable; highly variable traffic volumes (1 point)</li> </ul>	
Factor 5 – Specific Issues Expected (0 to 3 points each based on judgment) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Speed Variability</li> <li>• Back of Queue and Other Sight Distance Issues</li> <li>• High Speeds/Chronic Speeding</li> <li>• Work Zone Congestion</li> <li>• Availability of Alternate Routes</li> <li>• Merging Conflicts and Hazards At Work Zone Tapers</li> <li>• Work Zone Hazards/Complex Traffic Control Layout</li> <li>• Frequently Changing Operating Conditions for Traffic</li> <li>• Variable Work Activities (That May Benefit From Using Variable Speed Limits)</li> <li>• Oversize Vehicles (Percent Heavy Vehicles &gt;10%)</li> <li>• Construction Vehicle Entry/Exit Speed Differential Relative to Traffic</li> <li>• Data Collection for Work Zone Performance Measures</li> <li>• Unusual or Unpredictable Weather Patterns Such as Snow, Ice, and Fog</li> </ul>	
Total Score	
If the total score is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ≥30 – ITS is likely to provide significant benefits relative to costs for procurement</li> <li>• ≥10 and &lt;30 – ITS may provide some benefits and should be considered as a treatment to mitigate impacts</li> <li>• &lt;10 – ITS may not provide enough benefit as a treatment to justify the associated costs</li> </ul>	

<sup>21</sup>This is not the only way or criteria that could be used. Agencies can tailor this to their needs or use their own criteria.